

PROCEEDINGS OF THE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

June 21, 2024

Regular Meeting

A regular meeting of the Cincinnati City Planning Commission for Friday, June 21, 2024 was held in the Council Chambers of City Hall, 801 Plum Street, Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 and virtually on Zoom.

The manner of remote participation complied with all requirements of the Open Meetings Act. The meeting could be watched in real time on CitiCable or by livestreaming the meeting at www.cincinnati-oh.gov/media. Members of the public were invited to participate in-person or by registering online to receive the Zoom link. Public comments and questions to be shared with the City Planning Commission could be submitted to the Department of City Planning and Engagement in advance of the meeting.

Present: Ms. Byron Stallworth, Chair
Mr. John Eby, Commissioner (via Zoom)
Vice Mayor Ms. Jan-Michele Lemon Kearney, Commissioner
Mr. Jacob Samad, Vice Chair
Ms. Anne Sesler, Commissioner (via Zoom)
Assistant City Manager, Mr. William “Billy” Weber, Commissioner

Excused: Ms. Daniella Beltran, Commissioner

Staff Present: Ms. Katherine Keough-Jurs, City Planning & Engagement, Director
Mr. Kevin Tidd, Law, Legal Counsel
Ms. Emily Burns, City Planning & Engagement, City Planner
Ms. Gabrielle Couch, City Planning & Engagement, City Planner
Ms. Maria Dienger, City Planning & Engagement, Senior City Planner
Mr. Andrew Halt, City Planning & Engagement, Senior City Planner
Mr. Jesse Urbancsik, City Planning & Engagement, Senior City Planner
Ms. Ashlie Wilkson, City Planning & Engagement, Senior Administrative Specialist

Mr. Stallworth called the meeting to order at 9:05 a.m. and asked that everyone join in the Pledge of Allegiance.

Minutes

Commission Action:

Approved the minutes from the June 7, 2024 meeting.

Motion: Mr. Samad
Second: Mr. Weber
Ayes: Mr. Eby, Ms. Kearney, Mr. Samad, Ms. Sesler, Mr. Stallworth, Mr. Weber
Nays: None

Excused: Ms. Beltran

Mr. Stallworth made a request to move Item 1 from the Consent Agenda to the Discussion Agenda due to members of the public wishing to speak.

Motion: Mr. Eby

Second: Mr. Samad

Ayes: Mr. Eby, Ms. Kearney, Mr. Samad, Ms. Sesler, Mr. Stallworth, Mr. Weber

Nays: None

Excused: Ms. Beltran

Consent Agenda – Legislative

ITEM 2

A report and recommendation on a proposed conveyance at 6100 Montgomery Road in Pleasant Ridge. The Department of City Planning and Engagement staff recommended that the City Planning Commission:

APPROVE the proposed conveyance at 6100 Montgomery Road in Pleasant Ridge.

ITEM 3

A report and recommendation on a proposed public art installation at the Corryville Recreation Center located at 2823 Eden Avenue in Corryville. The Department of City Planning and Engagement staff recommended that the City Planning Commission:

APPROVE the proposed public art installation at the Corryville Recreation Center located at 2823 Eden Avenue in Corryville with the following conditions:

- 1) That the artwork installation, size, location, and general design is substantially consistent with the proposed artwork in Exhibit B:
- 2) The applicant shall coordinate with the City regarding the donation of the art including a contract with the City in a similar form as the City of Cincinnati Artwork Donation Agreement (Exhibit C); and
- 3) All artists involved in the creation and installation of the artwork shall provide the City with a Waiver of Rights pursuant to the Visual Artists Rights Act (Exhibit D).

Commission Action:

Moved to approve the staff's recommendations for Items 2 & 3 on the Legislative Consent Agenda.

Motion: Mr. Samad

Second: Mr. Weber

Ayes: Mr. Eby, Ms. Kearney, Mr. Samad, Ms. Sesler, Mr. Stallworth, Mr. Weber

Nays: None

Excused: Ms. Beltran

Consent Agenda – Quasi-Judicial

ITEM 4

A report and recommendation on a proposed Development Plan and Final Plat for Planned Development #73 (PD-73), Lot G3, MedPace, in Madisonville. The Department of City Planning and Engagement staff recommended that the City Planning Commission:

- 1) **ADOPT** the Department of City Planning and Engagement findings that the proposed division of land is generally consistent with the General Provision Purposes outlined in Section 100-03 of the Subdivision Regulations as detailed on pages 1-2 of the staff report; and
- 2) **APPROVE** the proposed Development Plan and Final Plat for Planned Development #73 (PD-73), Lot G3, Medpace, in Madisonville because the proposed subdivision is substantially consistent with Section 300-09(a)(6) and Section 300-03(c)(1) of the Subdivision Regulations.

Commission Action:

Moved to approve the staff's recommendations for Item 4 on the Quasi-Judicial Consent Agenda.

Motion:	Mr. Samad
Second:	Mr. Weber
Ayes:	Mr. Eby, Ms. Kearney, Mr. Samad, Ms. Sesler, Mr. Stallworth, Mr. Weber
Nays:	None
Excused:	Ms. Beltran

Discussion Agenda – Legislative

ITEM 1

Mr. Halt presented a report and recommendation on a proposed lease for 1523 Madison Road in East Walnut Hills. The Department of City Planning and Engagement staff recommended that the City Planning Commission:

APPROVE the proposed lease for 1523 Madison Road in East Walnut Hills.

Speakers and Discussion:

Mr. Freeman Durham, with East Walnut Hills Assembly and a member of the applicant team, stated the parking lot was an important resource in the historic business district.

Ms. Gwen Parham, a neighboring resident, stated she did not oppose the proposed lease renewal but stated her concern was not having access to parking and requested complimentary parking passes.

Ms. Aljean Rogers, a neighboring resident, reiterated the same concern as Ms. Parham regarding access to parking during peak times. Ms. Kearney asked how many parking passes were being requested. Ms. Parham confirmed three passes would be preferred. Mr. Weber suggested utilizing the residential parking permit program. Mr. Stallworth asked if there was a solution for free parking for the residents. Mr. Bob Bertch, Development Officer with the Department of Community and Economic Development expressed the need to balance residential and business parking needs and stated the parking lot's revenue also supported the local businesses.

Ms. Sesler asked if the revenue generated from the parking lot goes to the community. Ms. Diana Vakharia, Development Officer with the Department of Community and Economic Development, confirmed the revenue was used for operation and maintenance needs and stated any remaining funds could be used for capital improvement and beautification for the community.

Commission Action:

Moved to approve the staff's recommendation for Item 1 on the Legislative Discussion Agenda.

Motion: Mr. Samad
Second: Mr. Weber
Ayes: Mr. Eby, Ms. Kearney, Mr. Samad, Ms. Sesler, Mr. Stallworth, Mr. Weber
Nays: None
Excused: Ms. Beltran

Mr. Stallworth addressed the Commission and stated Items 5 & 7 were related to the same proposed development and requested to hold discussion until after Item 7 was presented.

ITEM 5

Ms. Burns presented a report and recommendation on a proposed Major Amendment to the Concept Plan and Development Program Statement for Planned Development #88 (PD-88), Three Oaks, in Oakley. The Department of City Planning and Engagement staff recommended that the City Planning Commission:

- 1) **ADOPT** the Department of City Planning and Engagement Findings as detailed in the staff report; and
- 2) **APPROVE** the Major Amendment to the Concept Plan and Development Program Statement for Planned Development #88 (PD-88), Three Oaks, as amended and specific in the staff report.

ITEM 7

Ms. Burns presented a report and recommendation on a proposed Final Development Plan for Planned Development #88 (PD-88), Three Oaks, in Oakley. The Department of City Planning and Engagement staff recommended that the City Planning Commission:

- 1) **ADOPT** the Department of City Planning and Engagement Findings as detailed in the staff report; and
- 2) **APPROVE** the Final Development Plan for Planned Development #88 (PD-88), Three Oaks, in Oakley.

Mr. Tidd swore the speakers in as Item 7 was a quasi-judicial item.

Speakers and Discussion:

Mr. Adam Rockel, with Neyer Properties and a member of the applicant team, expressed his excitement for the opportunity to develop a niche product for the City. Mr. Rockel highlighted the

opportunity to create a social hub for car enthusiasts by drawing on a broader network of residents with prized possessions. Mr. Rockel explained the proposed design of the project was complementary to the development and community by incorporating elements from the historic manufacturing building to the east of the subject location. Mr. Stallworth asked how many residents attended the community engagement meetings. Mr. Rockel estimated about 15-20 residents attended the community engagement meetings.

Mr. Weber asked about the alternatives considered from the initial single-family home use to the proposed use. Mr. Rockel explained the benefits of attracting buyers within and outside the neighborhood to help patronize the business district in Oakley. Mr. Weber asked about the construction status on the remainder of the development project. Mr. Rockel provided updates on the construction progress and stated they were nearing completion of 7 homes with 2 homes completed and said a few lots were recently sold. Mr. Stallworth asked how many units were being developed for the subject project. Mr. Rockel confirmed the development of 699 units and stated there would be ample green space throughout. Ms. Sesler asked about tax revenue. Mr. Weber clarified that the City's budget was driven by income tax and stated the project was subsidized through Tax Increment Financing (TIF) for the infrastructure. Mr. Samad commented that there may not be direct monetary benefit and stated the proposed project would be a better use than vacant land that bordered along a railroad track that may not be purchased by single-family homeowners. Mr. Weber provided his thoughts on the City's housing crisis and said he would like to review alternative ways to use the proposed space for residential development. Ms. Kearney asked about the car condo market. Mr. Rockel explained the proposed car condos were well-designed buildings with amenities, used for car storage and were customizable for various purposes.

Commission Action:

Moved to hold Item 5 on the Legislative Discussion Agenda to allow for more time to discuss alternative residential uses on the subject site.

Motion: Mr. Samad
Second: Mr. Weber
Ayes: Mr. Eby, Ms. Kearney, Mr. Samad, Ms. Sesler, Mr. Stallworth, Mr. Weber
Nays: None
Excused: Ms. Beltran

Commission Action:

Moved to hold Item 7 on the Quasi-Judicial Discussion Agenda pending resolution to Item 5.

Motion: Mr. Samad
Second: Mr. Weber
Ayes: Mr. Eby, Ms. Kearney, Mr. Samad, Ms. Sesler, Mr. Stallworth, Mr. Weber
Nays: None
Excused: Ms. Beltran

Mr. Stallworth addressed the Commission and stated Items 6 & 8 were related to the same proposed development and requested to hold discussion until after Item 8 was presented.

ITEM 6

Ms. Couch presented a report and recommendation on a proposed Major Amendment to the Concept Plan and Development Program Statement for Planned Development #38 (PD-38), The Villages of Daybreak, in Bond Hill. The Department of City Planning and Engagement staff recommended that the City Planning Commission:

- 1) **ADOPT** the Department of City Planning and Engagement Findings as detailed in the staff report; and
- 2) **APPROVE** the Major Amendment to the Concept Plan and Development Program Statement for Planned Development #38 (PD-38), The Villages of Daybreak, as outlined in the staff report.

ITEM 8

Ms. Couch presented a report and recommendation on a proposed Final Development Plan for Planned Development #38 (PD-38), Villages of Daybreak, in Bond Hill. The Department of City Planning and Engagement staff recommended that the City Planning Commission:

- 1) **ADOPT** the Department of City Planning and Engagement Findings as detailed in the staff report; and
- 2) **APPROVE** the proposed Final Development Plan for Planned Development #38 (PD-38), The Villages of Daybreak, in Bond Hill.

Mr. Tidd swore the speakers in as Item 8 was a quasi-judicial item.

Speakers and Discussion:

Mr. J.P. Burleigh, with Suder LLC and a member of the applicant team, confirmed the proposed development would be affordable with units targeted at persons earning 50% to 70% of the Area Median Income (AMI), and stated the units would feature amenities with high-quality design. Mr. Burleigh also stated the proposed development aligned with the City Council approved Connected Communities initiative and said the proposed design conformed to the neighborhood's aesthetic.

Ms. Tricia Moore, with LDG Development and a member of the applicant team, explained the applicant's engagement with the Bond Hill Community Council and confirmed the community council's support for the proposal. Ms. Moore stated the proposed design iterations were based on feedback provided by The Villages of Daybreak Homeowners Association (HOA). Ms. Moore also stated the applicant prioritized safety and privacy in the proposed development and incorporated privacy fences and security measures. Ms. Kearney asked if the applicant held a community engagement meeting with residents of The Villages of Daybreak. Ms. Moore stated meetings were held with the trustees who were also residents and said a future meeting was

scheduled for July. Ms. Kearney asked if the initial proposed development was presented to the Bond Hill Community Council, Ms. Moore confirmed the same plan had been presented with an estimation of the number of units at that time. Ms. Kearney asked about the status of the community benefits agreement between the applicant and the Bond Hill community. Ms. Moore confirmed that once zoning was finalized, the applicant would proceed with a proposed agreement. Mr. Stallworth asked about inclusionary goals. Ms. Moore stated the applicant was utilizing The Port's current minority inclusion plan. Mr. Weber asked about the applicant's management structure and experience. Ms. Moore discussed the applicant's long-term investment approach and experience in managing developments in multiple states. Mr. Weber asked about the Low-Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) filing timelines. Ms. Moore confirmed a mid-August deadline for the Opportunity to Purchase Act (OPA) state tax credits, and stated zoning was required for the proposal application. Mr. Weber asked how many units were going to range between the 40% to 70% AMI. Ms. Moore confirmed the proposal was a mixed-income development and they were targeting the 50%, 60%, and 70% AMI for all units. Mr. Weber asked if the applicant would consider sharing the development's amenities such as the club house and the pool with the community. Ms. Moore stated the community could have access to the clubhouse feature and said the applicant would be open to conversations. Mr. Burleigh asked about the treescape surrounding the boundary of the subject site. Ms. Moore stated trees would be planted at 6 feet, linear to the fencing boundary. Ms. Moore also stated the type of tree would grow approximately 6 feet per year to create a green barrier. Mr. Burleigh asked about the property management company's background and history. Ms. Moore stated the property management company, Winter Wood, managed approximately 50 developments across the country and confirmed their track record with property management, maintenance, and residential communication. Mr. Burleigh asked about security measures. Ms. Moore stated flock cameras would be installed on the subject site and there would be a 24-hour emergency line for any issues. Mr. Stallworth asked if a general contractor was selected. Ms. Moore confirmed a general contractor had not been selected yet.

Mr. Steve Gagliardi, with Berandi Partners and a member of the applicant team, discussed the proposed design for Bond Hill and The Villages of Daybreak. Mr. Gagliardi stated the design was modified based on the feedback from homeowners regarding privacy and balconies and said the applicant team had a conference call with homeowners to address their concerns and amended the plans accordingly. Mr. Samad asked if there were considerations to keep the buffer on the south end of the subject site. Mr. Gagliardi stated the applicant would be willing to discuss more landscape buffering on the south end.

Mr. Jonathan Evans, with Evans Engineering and a member of the applicant team, discussed the civil engineering portion of the subject site and explained the traffic flow, emergency access, stormwater management, and the detention pond.

Ms. Mary Hall, a neighboring resident, expressed concerns about affordable housing and sanitation issues.

Ms. Etina Ramsey, a neighboring resident, requested changes to the proposed development for low-income housing and suggested converting blighted buildings into low-income housing. Ms. Ramsey asked the Commission to hold the item for further community engagement and suggested amendments to the proposal.

Mr. Lee Larkins, a neighboring resident, stated he and residents at The Villages of Daybreak supported the original proposed plan, homeownership for first time homebuyers, and mixed development projects with less density and more shared amenities.

Ms. Tammy Larkins, a neighboring resident, stated concerns regarding the amount of density the proposed development would bring to the area. Ms. Larkins stated she believed the applicant's community engagement was inadequate. Ms. Kearney asked about the applicant's community engagement efforts. Ms. Larkins stated she understood there to be further engagement with the Bond Hill Community Council and President of the HOA that would solidify an approval for the proposed plan. Mr. Stallworth asked Ms. Larkin if she attended community council meetings. Ms. Larkin stated her husband attended both community council meetings and HOA meetings.

Ms. Christina Potts, a neighboring resident, expressed concerns about the proposed development and suggested alternative solutions to address issues with the current plan. Ms. Potts stated she believed the land should be developed for lower density housing options to offer affordable opportunities for homeownership and requested more engagement from the developers.

Ms. Darlene Peek, a neighboring resident, requested more engagement from the developer with the residents of The Villages of Daybreak.

Ms. Elizabeth Henderson, President of the Bond Hill Community Council and a neighboring resident, expressed concerns about the lack of green space and heat island effect in the proposed development. Mr. Stallworth asked when the community council meetings took place and how many residents attended. Ms. Henderson stated that community council meetings were held on the first Thursday of every month and said approximately 10 people were in attendance. Ms. Kearney asked if there was a meeting between the five trustees. Ms. Henderson stated the trustees met once a year and said the last meeting was approximately in 2023.

Mr. William Brown, a neighboring resident, stated his concern regarding increased traffic and pedestrian safety.

Ms. LaKeshia Walker, a neighboring resident, expressed concern about the lack of community engagement and potential property damage from the increase in infrastructure capacity. Ms. Walker requested the developer provide more information and follow up with the residents directly regarding their concerns.

Ms. Amanda Spurlock, a neighboring resident, shared her concerns about the proposed apartment complex in the neighborhood and cited the potential impact on traffic, parking, and community character. Ms. Spurlock said she believed the changes contradicted the aesthetic of the community and neighborhood and would negatively impact the surrounding area.

Mr. Eby left the meeting at 11:27 a.m.

Ms. Johnetta Turner, a neighboring resident and a member of The Villages of Daybreak Board of Trustees, expressed concerns about the proposed development affecting her property line, population density, and the lack of amenities in the proposed affordable housing project.

Ms. Angela Howard, a neighboring resident and a member of The Villages of Daybreak Board of Trustees, stated residents opposed the proposed apartment complex due to safety and privacy concerns.

Ms. Daphne Ware, a neighboring resident and a member of The Villages of Daybreak Board of Trustees, stated concerns of insufficient community benefit, increased traffic and noise, and changing the character of the neighborhood.

A recess was taken at 11:51 a.m. The session resumed at 11:58 a.m.

Mr. Stallworth called on Mr. Burleigh and Ms. Moore to address the voiced concerns. Ms. Moore explained the number of meetings she attended and stated the applicant received support from the Bond Hill Community Council. Mr. Samad asked if there was communication between the applicant and the owners of the three houses that directly abutted the perimeter of the proposed development. Ms. Moore confirmed she and her team did not meet with the owners. Mr. Burleigh asked if letters were sent to the abutting property owners with contact information, and Ms. Moore confirmed letters were sent and stated there was no response received. Ms. Kearney asked in what radius were letters sent out to surrounding neighbors. Mr. Burleigh stated that property owners were identified on Cincinnati Area Geographic Information System (CAGIS) with a property line adjacent to the proposed site. Mr. Burleigh asked the applicant to verify the property maintenance of other projects and safety concerns. Ms. Moore stated the property manager ensures units are pest free with bi-annual inspections. Ms. Moore emphasized the importance of income verification and background checks for residents and shared an example of a successful affordable housing project in Louisville, Kentucky with mixed income levels and a positive impact on the local community. Ms. Moore highlighted market studies showing high demand for housing in Cincinnati, with surrounding apartment waitlists of over 400 households. Ms. Moore addressed the traffic concerns from residents and stated the applicant engaged the Department of Transportation and Engineering (DOT) to complete a traffic impact study. Ms. Moore confirmed the traffic impact study found low traffic impact on Seymour Avenue and no additional traffic signals or turn lanes were warranted on the subject site.

Ms. Kearney asked about the LIHTC timeline. Ms. Moore reiterated a mid-August deadline for the OPA state tax credits, and stated zoning was required for the proposed application. Mr. Burleigh stated the applicant's commitment to ongoing conversations with residents and stated they would be amenable to holding the proposed final development plan for further consideration but requested the Commission approve the Concept Plan to meet tax credit application deadlines.

Mr. Burleigh asked how many trees were on the subject site. Mr. Evans stated the subject site was predominantly grass with a couple of large trees. Mr. Evans provided details on tree removal and proposed plantings for the subject site.

Mr. Burleigh stated the applicant met community feedback expectations and emphasized the benefits of the development for those who were not housed, while acknowledging the potential impacts on neighboring property owners. Mr. Burleigh addressed concerns about the aesthetic and increased traffic, stated that the proposed development would improve the overall aesthetic and streetscape in the area, and said the project would increase surrounding property values and be a net positive for the community.

Mr. Stallworth left the meeting at 12:30 p.m.

Ms. Kearney expressed concerns about expectations and traffic safety and emphasized the importance of community engagement and stakeholder involvement in the project. Ms. Kearney also expressed concern about the lack of community engagement and resident input and said she would motion to hold the item to encourage the applicant to further engage with the residents of The Villages of Daybreak

Mr. Weber acknowledged the challenge of balancing affordable housing and neighborhood stability and stated he would support the motion to hold the item for further community engagement.

Commission Action:

Moved to hold Item 6 on the Legislative Discussion Agenda to allow for more time for community engagement.

Motion:	Ms. Kearney
Second:	Ms. Sesler
Ayes:	Ms. Kearney, Ms. Sesler, Mr. Weber
Nays:	Mr. Samad
Absent:	Mr. Eby, Mr. Stallworth
Excused:	Ms. Beltran

Commission Action:

Moved to hold Item 8 on the Quasi-Judicial Discussion Agenda pending resolution to Item 8.

Motion:	Ms. Kearney
---------	-------------

Second: Ms. Sesler
Ayes: Ms. Kearney, Ms. Sesler, Mr. Weber
Nays: Mr. Samad
Absent: Mr. Eby, Mr. Stallworth
Excused: Ms. Beltran

Discussion Agenda – Quasi-Judicial

ITEM 9

Ms. Dienger presented a report and recommendation on a proposed Final Development Plan for Planned Development #73 (PD-73), Lot G3, MedPace, in Madisonville. The Department of City Planning and Engagement staff recommended that the City Planning Commission:

- 1) **ADOPT** the Department of City Planning and Engagement Findings as detailed on page 8 of the staff report; and
- 2) **APPROVE** the proposed Final Development Plan for Planned Development #73 (PD-73), Lot G3, Medpace, in Madisonville.

Mr. Tidd swore the speakers in as Item 9 was a quasi-judicial item.

Speakers and Discussion:

Mr. Joe Dillon, civil engineer with Brandstetter Carroll and a member of the applicant team, stated he was available for questions.

Mr. Scott Kaylor, a nearby resident, stated his concerns about the negative impacts of the proposed 6-story parking garage in a historic neighborhood causing a decrease in property values of surrounding houses.

Ms. Kate Botos, President of Madisonville Community Council, stated residents raised concerns of traffic during community council meetings and explained the applicant was accommodating to all residents' concerns regarding traffic, and had agreed to install speed bumps and new stop signs in the neighborhood.

Ms. Ann Boland, a resident of St. Paul's Village, stated her support for the applicant but shared her concern of a 6-story parking garage in a residential area and the need for more comprehensive safety measures.

Ms. Melody McDonald, a resident of St. Paul's Village, expressed concerns about environmental impacts, a decrease in property values, and an increase in traffic.

Mr. Jim Diesel, a resident of St. Paul's Village, reiterated the concerns of increased traffic, pedestrian safety, and access for emergency service vehicles.

Ms. Barb Backman, a resident of St. Paul’s Village, stated her concern of speeding and pedestrian safety.

Mr. Chris Pfaff, with MedPace and a member of the applicant team, stated the applicant had agreed to install speed bumps and traffic signs and stated they were working with the Department of Transportation and Engineering (DOTE) on the installation of traffic lights. Mr. Samad asked about the concept design and considerations made regarding height. Mr. Pfaff stated the proposed parking garage was being developed to accommodate the new office space being constructed and said the applicant aimed to bury the proposed parking garage in the hillside to reduce visibility.

Mr. Seth Barnard, with RBM and a member of the applicant team, discussed the design of the proposed parking garage, including the use of muted colors to integrate with the rest of the campus and stated they have extended the landscaping. Mr. Barnard addressed the environmental aspects of the project, including the removal of monitoring wells and installation of air barriers in certain areas.

Mr. Weber complimented the applicant team on good community engagement and communication.

Commission Action:

Moved to approve the staff’s recommendations for Item 9 on the Quasi-Judicial Discussion Agenda.

Motion:	Mr. Weber
Second:	Ms. Kearney
Ayes:	Ms. Kearney, Mr. Samad, Ms. Sesler, Mr. Weber
Nays:	None
Absent:	Mr. Eby, Mr. Stallworth
Excused:	Ms. Beltran

Director’s Report

Ms. Keough-Jurs thanked the City Planning Commission for their time and reminded the Commissioners that the next meeting on July 5, 2024 would be cancelled due to the holiday.

The meeting adjourned at 1:30 p.m.

APPROVAL OF THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

June 21, 2024

The minutes for the June 21, 2024 Regular Meeting are approved as distributed.



Katherine Keough-Jurs, FAICP, Director
Department of City Planning and Engagement



Byron Stallworth, Chair
City Planning Commission

Date: 8/16/2024

Date: 16 AUG 2024